



PROGRAMA

Objetivo: Em primeiro lugar, apresentar as principais abordagens da metodologia econômica. Esta área de estudo tem por objeto examinar as teorias, modelos e explicações produzidas pelos economistas. As abordagens tradicionais eram *prescritivas*, isto é, visavam discriminar entre ciência e não-ciência os produtos da economia enquanto disciplina acadêmica – a partir da filosofia da ciência. As abordagens mais recentes são *descritivas*, isto é, examinam aqueles produtos a partir da prática teórica e empírica dos economistas – a partir de dentro, por assim dizer. Além disso, serão introduzidos temas como: o formalismo e o papel dos modelos em economia; as abordagens econômicas na fronteira da *mainstream* e suas implicações para a teoria e prática dos economistas; a interface teoria e realidade econômica; e a temática ética embutida nas teorias econômicas. Espera-se que o estudante possa, ao final, acompanhar o debate na literatura acadêmica e venha, se for do seu interesse, a desenvolver pesquisa nessa área.

Período: semanalmente, de 24 de setembro a 03 de dezembro de 2018, das 14 às 18 horas.

Avaliação: dois trabalhos a serem entregues, respectivamente, na quinta e na nona aula. Espera-se leitura prévia e participação a partir de perguntas a respeito dos textos obrigatórios (marcados com [*]).

1. Metodologia econômica: o que é e por que estudar

Backhouse, Roger E. (1994) “Introduction”, in: Backhouse, R. E. (ed.) *New directions in economic methodology*. Londres: Routledge, 1-21.

Hausman, Daniel M. (1994) “Introduction” in: Hausman, D. M. (ed.) *Economics and philosophy: an anthology*. 2a ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1-50.

*Hands, D. Wade (2015) “Orthodox and heterodox economics in recent economic methodology”. *Erasmus Journal for Philosophy and Economics*, 8(1): 61-81.

*Mireles-Flores, Luis (2018) “Recent trends in economic methodology: a literature review”. *Research in the History of Economic Thought and Methodology*, 36: 93-126.

Leitura adicional sugerida:

Hands, D. Wade (1990) “Thirteen theses on progress in economic methodology”. *Finnish Economic Papers*, 3(1): 72-76.

Hands, D. Wade (2001) “Economic methodology is dead – long live economic methodology: thirteen theses on the new economic methodology”. *Journal of Economic Methodology*, 8(1): 49-63.

Weintraub, E. Roy (1989) “Methodology doesn’t matter, but the history of thought might”. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 91(2): 477-493.

Mäki, Uskali (1994) “Methodology might matter, but Weintraub’s meta-methodology shouldn’t”, *Journal of Economic Methodology*, 1(2): 215-232.

Hoover, Kevin D. (1995) “Why does methodology matters for economists?” *Economic Journal* 105(430): 715-734.

2. Um século de metodologia econômica: de Mill a Friedman

*Hands, D. Wade (2001) *Reflection without rules: economic methodology and contemporary science theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, cap. 2 (13-69).

*Friedman, Milton (1953) “The methodology of positive economics”, in: Friedman, M. *Essays in positive economics*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, cap. 1 (3-43).

Coddington, Alan (1979) “Friedman’s contribution to methodological controversy”. *British Review of Economic Issues* 2(4): 1-13.

Mäki, Uskali (2009) “Unrealistic assumptions and unnecessary confusions: rereading and rewriting F53 as a realist statement”, in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *The methodology of positive economics: reflections on the Milton Friedman legacy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 90-116.

Leitura adicional sugerida:

Mäki, Uskali (1989) “On the problem of realism in economics”. *Recherche Economique*, 43(1-2): 176-198.

Mäki, Uskali (1992) “Friedman and realism”. *Research in the History of Economic Thought and Methodology*, 10: 171-195.

Hands, D. Wade (2009) “Did Milton Friedman’s positive methodology license the formalist revolution?” in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *The methodology of positive economics: reflections on the Milton Friedman legacy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 143-164.

Mayer, Thomas (2009) “The influence of Friedman’s methodological essay”, in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *The methodology of positive economics: reflections on the Milton Friedman legacy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 119-142.

3. Filosofia da ciência e economia: Popper e Lakatos

*Hands, D. Wade (2001) *Reflection without rules: economic methodology and contemporary science theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, cap. 3 (70-127).

Hands, D. Wade (1993) “Popper and Lakatos in economic methodology”, in: Mäki, U.; Gustafsson, B.; e Knudsen, C. (eds.) *Rationality, institutions and economic methodology*. Londres: Routledge, 61-75.

Hausman, Daniel M. (1994) “Kuhn, Lakatos and the character of economics”, in: Backhouse, R. E. (ed.) *New directions in economic methodology*. Londres: Routledge, 197-217.

*Caldwell, Bruce J. (1991) “Clarifying Popper”, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 29(1): 1-33.

*Backhouse, Roger E. (2012) “The rise and fall of Popper and Lakatos in economics”, in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 25-48.

Leitura adicional sugerida:

Popper, Karl R. ([1963] 1980) “Ciência: conjecturas e refutações”, in: Popper, K. R. *Conjecturas e Refutações*. Brasília: Editora da UnB, 63-88.

Hands, D. Wade (1985) “Karl Popper and economic methodology”. *Economics and Philosophy*, 1(1): 83-99.

Blaug, Mark (1994) “Why I am not a constructivist: confessions of an unrepentant Popperian”, in: Backhouse, R. E. (ed.) *New directions in economic methodology*. Londres: Routledge, 109-136.

Backhouse, Roger E. (2004) “The methodology of scientific research programmes”, in: Davis, J. B.; Marciano, A.; e Runde, J. (eds.) *The Elgar companion to economics and philosophy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 181-196.

4. Formalismo e modelos

*Blaug, Mark (1999) “The formalist revolution or what happened to orthodox economics after World War II?” in: Backhouse, R. E. e Creedy, J. (eds.) *From classical economics to the theory of the firm: essays in honour of D. P. O’Brien*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 257-280.

*Rizvi, Abu T. (2003) “Postwar neoclassical microeconomics” in: Samuels, W. J.; Biddle, J. E.; e Davis, J. B. (eds) *A companion to the history of economic thought*. Oxford: Blackwell, 376-394.

Mirowski, Philip (2012) “The unreasonable efficacy of mathematics in modern economics” in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 159-197.

*Setterfield, Mark (2016) “Heterodox economics, social ontology and the use of mathematics” in: Morgan, J. (ed.) *What is neoclassical economics? Debating the origins, meaning and significance*. Londres: Routledge, 221-237.

*Morgan, Mary e Knuuttla, Tarja (2012) “Models and modeling in economics” in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 49-87.

Boumans, Marcel (2004) “Models in economics”, in: Davis, J. B.; Marciano, A.; e Runde, J. (eds.) *The Elgar companion to economics and philosophy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 260-282.

Kesting, Peter e Vilks, Arnis (2004) “Formalism”, in: Davis, J. B.; Marciano, A.; e Runde, J. (eds.) *The Elgar companion to economics and philosophy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 283-298.

Hands, D. Wade (2016) “Derivational robustness, credible substitute systems and mathematical economic models: the case of stability analysis in Walrasian general equilibrium theory”. *Europ. Journal for Philosophy of Science*, 6(1): 31-53.

Leitura adicional sugerida:

- Dow, Sheila C. (ed.) (1998) “Controversy: formalism in economics”, *Economic Journal*, 108(451): 1826-1869.
- Boumans, Marcel (2005) *How economists model the world into numbers*. Londres: Routledge.
- Sugden, Robert (2000) “Credible worlds: the status of theoretical models in economics”. *Journal of Economic Methodology*, 7(1): 1-31.
- Grüne-Yanoff, Till (ed.) (2009) “Special Issue: economic models as credible worlds or as isolating tools?”. *Erkenntnis*, 70(1): 1-131.
- Morgan, Mary S. (2012) *The world in the model: how economists work and think*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, caps 1 e 10 (1-43; 378-412).

5. Retórica e Realismo

- *McCloskey, Deirdre N. (1983) “The rhetoric of economics”. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 21(2): 481-517.
- Paulani, Leda M. (2005) “Pós-modernismo, McCloskey e a retórica da economia” in: Paulani, L. M. *Modernidade e discurso econômico*. São Paulo: Boitempo, cap. 6 (141-179).
- Garnett Jr., Robert F. (2004) “Rhetoric and post-modernism in economics” in: Davis, J. B.; Marciano, A.; e Runde, J. (eds.) *The Elgar companion to economics and philosophy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 231-259.
- *Lawson, Tony (1995) “A realist perspective on contemporary ‘economic theory’”. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 29(1):1-32.
- Lawson, Tony (2015) “A conception of ontology” in: Pratten, S. (ed.) *Social ontology and modern economics*. Londres: Routledge, 19-52.
- *Mäki, Uskali (2012) “Realism and antirealism about economics” in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 3-24.

Leitura adicional sugerida:

- Mirowski, Philip (1992) “Three vignettes on the state of economic rhetoric”, in: de Marchi, Neil (ed.) *Post-Popperian economic methodology: recovering practice*. Nova York: Springer, 235-271.
- Lewis, Paul A. (2003) “Recent developments in economic methodology: the rhetorical and ontological turns”. *Foundations of Science*, 8 (1): 51-68.
- Sofianou, Evanthia (1995) “Post-modernism and the notion of rationality in economics”. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 19(3): 373-389.
- Lawson, Tony. (1997) *Economics and Reality*. Londres: Routledge, parte I (3-65)
- Lawson, Tony (2003) *Reorienting economics*. Londres: Routledge, caps. 1 e 10 (3-27; 247-282).
- Mäki, Uskali (2001) “Economic ontology: what? why? how?” in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *The economic world view: studies in the ontology of economics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 3-14.
- Davis, John B. (2012) “The homo economicus conception of individual: an ontological approach”, in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 459-482.
- Ross, Don (2012) “The economic agent: not human, but important”, in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 692-735.

6. Abordagens sociológica e econômica ao conhecimento científico (SSK e ESK)

- *Hands, D. Wade (2001) *Reflection without rules: economic methodology and contemporary science theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, seções 5.2-5.3 (186-212).
- Weintraub, E. Roy e Knorr-Cetina, Karin (1991) “Introduction” e “Epistemic cultures: forms of reason in science”. *History of Political Economy*, 23(1): 101-122.
- *Boldyrev, Ivan e Svetlova, Ekaterina (2016) “After the turn: how the performativity of economics matters” in: Boldyrev, I. e Svetlova, E. (eds.) *Enacting dismal science: new perspectives on the performativity of economics*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, cap. 1 (1-27).
- Brisset, Nicolas (2016) “Economics is not always performative: some limits for performativity”. *Journal of Economic Methodology*, 23(2): 160-184.
- Hands, D. Wade (2001) *Reflection without rules: economic methodology and contemporary science theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, cap. 8 (353-392).
- *Zamora Bonilla, Jesús P. (2012) “The economics of scientific knowledge”, in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 823-862.

Leitura adicional sugerida:

- Hands, D. Wade (2004) "Constructivism: the social construction of scientific knowledge", in: Davis, J. B.; Marciano, A.; e Runde, J. (eds.) *The Elgar companion to economics and philosophy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 197-212.
- Hands, D. Wade (1994) "The sociology of scientific knowledge and economics: some thoughts on the possibilities", in: Backhouse, R. E. (ed.) *New directions in economic methodology*. Londres: Routledge, 75-106.
- Fuller, Steve (ed.) (1996) "Special Issue: economic metaphors in science studies". *Social Epistemology*, 10(2): 135-208.
- Pickering, Andrew (ed) (1992) *Science as practice and culture*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, caps. 1, 10-13 (1-26; 301-389).
- Tosh, Nick (2006) "Science, truth and history, part I: historiography, relativism and the Sociology of Scientific Knowledge". *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science – Part A*, 37 (4): 675-701.
- Tosh, Nick (2007) "Science, truth and history, part II: metaphysical bolt-holes for the Sociology of Scientific Knowledge?" *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science – Part A*, 38 (1): 185-209.

7. Novas abordagens ao comportamento econômico

- Frey, Bruno S. e Benz, Matthias (2004) "From imperialism to inspiration: a survey of economics and psychology" in: Davis, J. B.; Marciano, A.; e Runde, J. (eds.) *The Elgar companion to economics and philosophy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 61-83.
- Earl, Peter E. (1990) "Economics and psychology: a survey". *Economic Journal*, 100(402): 718-755.
- *Heukelom, Floris (2011) "Behavioral economics", in: Davis, J. B. e Hands, D. Wade (eds.) *The Elgar companion to recent economic methodology*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 19-38.
- Angner, Erik e Loewenstein, George (2012) "Behavioral economics", in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 641-689.
- *Santos, Ana C. (2011) "Experimental economics", in Davis, J. B. e Hands, D. Wade (eds.) *The Elgar companion to recent economic methodology*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 39-60.
- Guala, Francesco (2012) "Experimentation in economics", in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 597-640.
- Zak, Paul J. (2004) "Neuroeconomics", *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society – Part B*, 359 (1451): 1737-1748.
- *Ross, Don (2011) "Neuroeconomics and economic methodology", in: Davis, J. B. e Hands, D. Wade (eds.) *The Elgar companion to recent economic methodology*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 61-93.
- *Reiss, Julian (2013) *Philosophy of economics: a contemporary introduction*. Londres: Routledge, caps. 15 (278-300).

Leitura adicional sugerida:

- Davis, John B. (2017) "Is mainstream economics a science bubble?" *Review of Political Economy*, 29(4): 523-538.
- White, Marl D. (2007) "Does homo economicus have a will?", in: Montero, B. e White, M. D. (eds.) *Economics and the mind*. Londres: Routledge, 143-158.
- Davis, John B. (2007) "Behavioral economics, neuroeconomics and identity", in: Montero, B. e White, M. D. (eds.) *Economics and the mind*. Londres: Routledge, 58-74.
- Camerer, Colin F. e Loewenstein, George (2004) "Behavioral economics: past, present and future", in: Camerer, C. F.; Loewenstein, G.; e Rabin, M. (eds) *Advances in behavioral economics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, cap. 1 (3-51).
- Sent, Esther-Mirjam (2004) "Behavioral economics: how psychology made its (limited) way back into economics", *History of Political Economy*, 36(4): 735-760.

8. Reduccionismo versus complexidade e emergência

- Janssen, Maarten C. W. (1991) "What is this thing called microfoundations?" *History of Political Economy*, 23(4): 687-712.
- *Hoover, Kevin D. (2009) "Microfoundations and the ontology of macroeconomics", in: Kincaid, H. e Ross, D. (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Economics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 386-409.
- Colander, David C. (1993) "The microfoundations of micro". *Eastern Economic Journal*, 19(4): 447-457.
- *Duarte, Pedro G. (2011) "Recent developments in macroeconomics: the DSGE approach in business cycles in perspective", in: Davis, J. B. e Hands, D. W. (eds.) *The Elgar companion to recent economic methodology*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 375-403.
- Kirman, Alan (2011) *Complex economics: individual and collective rationality*. Londres: Routledge, caps. 1-3 (1-124).

*Borrill, Paul L. e Tesfatsion, Leigh (2011) “Agent-based modeling: the right mathematics for the social sciences?”, in: Davis, J. B. e Hands, D. W. (eds.) *The Elgar companion to recent economic methodology*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 228-258.

Leitura adicional sugerida:

Renault, Matthieu (2018) “Edmond Malinvaud’s criticisms to new classical economics: restoring the rationale of the old keynesians’ stance”. University of São Paulo: mimeo, 1-30.

Foster, John (2005) “From simplistic to complex systems in economics”. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 29(9): 873-892.

Davis, John B. (2004) “Collective intentionality, complex economic behavior, and valuation”, in: Davis, J. B.; Marciano, A.; e Runde, J. (eds.) *The Elgar companion to economics and philosophy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 386-402.

9. Ética e Economia

Rowthorn, Robert (1996) “Ethics and economics: an economist’s view”, in: Groenewegen, P. D. (ed.) *Economics and ethics?* Londres: Routledge, 15-33.

Ten, Chin Liew (1996) “Ethics and economics: a philosopher’s view”, in: Groenewegen, P. D. (ed.) *Economics and ethics?* Londres: Routledge, 34-45.

Hausman, Daniel M. e McPherson, Michael S. (2006) *Economic analysis, moral philosophy and public policy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, Parte I (3-42).

*Reiss, Julian (2013) *Philosophy of economics: a contemporary introduction*. Londres: Routledge, caps. 12-14 (211-277).

Graafland, Johan J. (2007) *Economics, ethics and the market: introduction and applications*. Londres: Routledge, 149-268.

Gauthier, David P. (1986) “The market: freedom from morality”, in: Gauthier, D. P. *Morals by agreement*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, cap. 4 (83-112).

*Bowles, Samuel (1991) “What markets can – and cannot – do”. *Challenge*, 34(4): 11-16.

*Davis, John B. (2016) “Economist’s odd stand on the positive-normative distinction: a behavioral economics view”, in: DeMartino, G. F. e McCloskey, D. N. (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Professional Economic Ethics*. Nova York: Oxford University Press, cap. 11 (200-218).

Hands, D. Wade (2012) “The positive-normative dichotomy and economics”, in: Mäki, U. (ed.) *Handbook of philosophy of science, vol. 13: Philosophy of economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 219-239.

Outros livros sobre ética e economia:

Anderson, Elizabeth (1993) *Value in ethics and in economics*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Sen, Amartya ([1993] 1999) *Sobre ética e economia*. São Paulo: Companhia das Letras.

O’Neill, John (1998) *The market: ethics, knowledge and politics*. Londres: Routledge.

Broome, John (1999) *Ethics out of economics*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Van Staveren, Irene (2001) *The values of economics: an Aristotelian perspective*. Londres: Routledge.

Satz, Debra (2010) *Why some things should not be for sale: on the limits of markets*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

White, Mark D. (2011) *Kantian ethics and economics: autonomy, dignity and character*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Sandel, Michael J. ([2012] 2016) *O que o dinheiro não compra: os limites morais do mercado*. Rio de Janeiro: Civilização Brasileira.

Baker, Jennifer A. e White, Mark D. (2016) *Economics and the virtues: building a new moral foundation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Outros livros sobre metodologia econômica:

Caldwell, Bruce J. ([1982] 1994) *Beyond positivism: economic methodology in the twentieth century*. Londres: Routledge, edição revista.

Dow, Sheila C. (2002) *Economic methodology: an inquiry*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ross, Don (2014) *Philosophy of Economics*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Boumans, Marcel e Davis, John B. (2016) *Economic methodology: understanding economics as a science*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.